



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,793	05/08/2001	Bo-In Lin	LC &SJ-2k02	3313
7	590 07/29/2004		EXAMINER	
Bo-In Lin 13445 Madoli Drive			YOUNG, JOHN L	
Los Altos Hills				PAPER NUMBER
			3622	2
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/851,793	LIN, BO-IN			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	N.	John L Young	3622			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be til within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. The mailing date of this communication.			
Status	,					
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u>	av 2001				
2a)□						
3)						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	m parto quayro, 1000 O.D. 11, 4	× 0.3. 210.			
_						
5	4) Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· —						
1	,					
	,					
		election requirement.				
	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No			
	Copies of the certified copies of the priori		ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	di			
	JOHN LEONARD YOUNG, E					
	PRIMARY EXAMINER					
Attachment((s)	_ v - 1 - 2	6.2024			
1) Notice 2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
3) 📙 Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				
J.S. Patent and Tra PTOL-326 (Re	4.645	on Summary Par	t of Paper No./Mail Date 20040726			

1

Art Unit: 3622

FIRST ACTION REJECTION

(Paper#7/26/2004)

DRAWINGS

1. This application has been filed with drawings that are considered informal; however, said drawings are acceptable for examination and publication purposes. The review process for drawings that are included with applications on filing has been modified in view of the new requirement to publish applications at eighteen months after the filing date of applications, or any priority date claimed under 35 U.S.C. §§119, 120, 121, or 365.

CLAIM OBJECTIONS

2. Please correct the typographical errors associated with the numerals indicating claims 1, 8, 15, 16, 17, 18, 41, 42, 43 & 44; said numerals should each be followed by a period --.--.

CLAIM REJECTIONS -35 U.S.C. \$103(a)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the

Art Unit: 3622

invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Mikurak US 6,671,818 class 714/4 (12/30/2003) (herein referred to as "Mikurak").

As per claim 1, Mikurak (FIG. 118; FIG. 2; FIG. 5; FIG. 13; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 6; through FIG. 11; FIG. 12; FIG. 15; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 19; FIG. 21; FIG. 23; FIG. 25; FIG. 26; FIG. 50; FIG. 53; FIG. 54; FIG. 55; FIG. 56; FIG. 57; FIG. 58; FIG. 60; FIG. 61; FIG. 62; FIG. 63; FIG. 64; FIG. 65; FIG. 66 through FIG. 73; FIG. 74; FIG. 75; FIG. 76; FIG. 90; through FIG. 97; FIG. 100; FIG. 106 through FIG. 110; FIG. 115; FIG. 120 through FIG. 122; col. 3, Il. 5-67; col. 4, Il. 1-67; col. 5, Il. 1-67; col. 6, Il. 1-67; col. 7, Il. 1-67; col. 8, Il. 1-67; col. 9, Il. 1-25; col. 76, Il. 19-25; col. 77, Il. 43-67; col. 78, Il. 1-5; col. 158, Il. 8-20; col. 158, Il. 29-67; col. 159, Il. 1-67; col. 217, Il. 39-46; col. 218, Il. 1-67; col. 224, Il. 18-30; col. 225, Il. 1-67; and whole document) shows: "A network system

Art Unit: 3622

connected with an online goods and services provider (GSP) selling goods-orservices to an online customer through the network system, the network system
further comprising an after-sales-service and customer care (ASCC) center for
issuing an electronic ASCC voucher defining an ASCC program related to sales of
said goods-or-services wherein said electronic ASCC vouchers are provided for
transmitting over said network."

Mikurak lacks an explicit recitation of "after-sales-service and customer care (ASCC) center" and "an electronic ASCC voucher. . . . ", even though Mikurak (FIG. 118; FIG. 2; FIG. 5; FIG. 13; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 6; through FIG. 11; FIG. 12; FIG. 15; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 19; FIG. 21; FIG. 23; FIG. 25; FIG. 26; FIG. 50; FIG. 53; FIG. 54; FIG. 55; FIG. 56; FIG. 57; FIG. 58; FIG. 60; FIG. 61; FIG. 62; FIG. 63; FIG. 64; FIG. 65; FIG. 66 through FIG. 73; FIG. 74; FIG. 75; FIG. 76; FIG. 90; through FIG. 97; FIG. 100; FIG. 106 through FIG. 110; FIG. 115; FIG. 120 through FIG. 122; col. 3, ll. 5-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-67; col. 7, ll. 1-67; col. 8, ll. 1-67; col. 9, ll. 1-25; col. 76, ll. 19-25; col. 77, ll. 43-67; col. 78, ll. 1-5; col. 158, ll. 8-20; col. 158, ll. 29-67; col. 159, ll. 1-67; col. 217, ll. 39-46; col. 218, ll. 1-67; col. 224, ll. 18-30; col. 225, ll. 1-67) implicitly shows same.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the

4

Art Unit: 3622

Serial Number: 09/851,793

disclosure of Mikurak (FIG. 118; FIG. 2; FIG. 5; FIG. 13; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 6; through FIG. 11; FIG. 12; FIG. 15; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 19; FIG. 21; FIG. 23; FIG. 25; FIG. 26; FIG. 50; FIG. 53; FIG. 54; FIG. 55; FIG. 56; FIG. 57; FIG. 58; FIG. 60; FIG. 61; FIG. 62; FIG. 63; FIG. 64; FIG. 65; FIG. 66 through FIG. 73; FIG. 74; FIG. 75; FIG. 76; FIG. 90; through FIG. 97; FIG. 100; FIG. 106 through FIG. 110; FIG. 115; FIG. 120 through FIG. 122; col. 3, 1l. 5-67; col. 4, 1l. 1-67; col. 5, Il. 1-67; col. 6, Il. 1-67; col. 7, Il. 1-67; col. 8, Il. 1-67; col. 9, Il. 1-25; col. 76, Il. 19-25; col. 77, Il. 43-67; col. 78, Il. 1-5; col. 158, Il. 8-20; col. 158, Il. 29-67; col. 159, ll. 1-67; col. 217, ll. 39-46; col. 218, ll. 1-67; col. 224, ll. 18-30; col. 225, ll. 1-67) implicitly shows "after-sales-service and customer care (ASCC) center" and "an electronic ASCC voucher. . . . " and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Mikurak cited above as showing "aftersales-service and customer care (ASCC) center" and "an electronic ASCC voucher. . . ." because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Mikurak would have provided "customer satisfaction in service needs. . . . " (see Mikurak (col. 76, ll. 19-25)), based on the motivation to modify Mikurak so as to provide an ongoing way "to perform commercial transactions that involve order entry systems would allow an online system to sell goods and services to computer users." (See Mikurak (col. 1, 11. 107, 11. 27-39)).

As per claims 2-7, Mikurak shows the system of claim 1 and subsequent

Art Unit: 3622

base claims depending from claim 1.

Mikurak (FIG. 118; FIG. 2; FIG. 5; FIG. 13; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 6; through FIG. 11; FIG. 12; FIG. 15; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 19; FIG. 21; FIG. 23; FIG. 25; FIG. 26; FIG. 50; FIG. 53; FIG. 54; FIG. 55; FIG. 56; FIG. 57; FIG. 58; FIG. 60; FIG. 61; FIG. 62; FIG. 63; FIG. 64; FIG. 65; FIG. 66 through FIG. 73; FIG. 74; FIG. 75; FIG. 76; FIG. 90; through FIG. 97; FIG. 100; FIG. 106 through FIG. 110; FIG. 115; FIG. 120 through FIG. 122; col. 3, ll. 5-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-67; col. 7, ll. 1-67; col. 8, ll. 1-67; col. 9, ll. 1-25; col. 76, ll. 19-25; col. 77, ll. 43-67; col. 78, ll. 1-5; col. 158, ll. 8-20; col. 158, ll. 29-67; col. 159, ll. 1-67; col. 217, ll. 39-46; col. 218, ll. 1-67; col. 224, ll. 18-30; col. 225, ll. 1-67; and whole document) implicitly shows the elements and limitations of claims 2-7.

Mikurak lacks explicit recitation of some of the elements of claims 2-7, even though Mikurak implicitly shows same.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of Mikurak cited above implicitly shows the elements and limitations of claims 2-7 and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Mikurak cited above as showing the limitations of claims 2-7 because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Mikurak would have provided "customer satisfaction in service needs. . . . " (see Mikurak (col. 76, Il. 19-25)), based on the motivation to modify

Art Unit: 3622

Mikurak so as to provide an ongoing way "to perform commercial transactions that involve order entry systems would allow an online system to sell goods and services to computer users." (See Mikurak (col. 1, Il. 107, Il. 27-39)).

Independent claim 8 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as independent claim 1.

Dependent claims 9-14 are rejected for substantially the same reasons as dependent claims 2-7.

Independent claim 15 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as independent claim 1.

As per claims 16-21, Mikurak shows the system of claim 15 and subsequent base claims depending from claim 15.

Mikurak (FIG. 118; FIG. 2; FIG. 5; FIG. 13; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 6; through FIG. 11; FIG. 12; FIG. 15; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 19; FIG. 21; FIG. 23; FIG. 25; FIG. 26; FIG. 50; FIG. 53; FIG. 54; FIG. 55; FIG. 56; FIG. 57; FIG. 58; FIG. 60; FIG. 61; FIG. 62; FIG. 63; FIG. 64; FIG. 65; FIG. 66 through FIG. 73; FIG. 74; FIG. 75; FIG. 76; FIG. 90; through FIG. 97; FIG. 100; FIG. 106 through FIG. 110; FIG. 115; FIG. 120 through FIG. 122; col. 3, 11. 5-67; col. 4,

Serial Number: 09/851,793

(Lin)

Art Unit: 3622

II. 1-67; col. 5, II. 1-67; col. 6, II. 1-67; col. 7, II. 1-67; col. 8, II. 1-67; col. 9, II. 1-25; col. 76, II. 19-25; col. 77, II. 43-67; col. 78, II. 1-5; col. 158, II. 8-20; col. 158, II. 29-67; col. 159, II. 1-67; col. 217, II. 39-46; col. 218, II. 1-67; col. 224, II. 18-30; col. 225, II. 1-67; and whole document) implicitly shows the elements and limitations of claims 16-21.

Mikurak lacks explicit recitation of some of the elements of claims 16-21, even though Mikurak implicitly shows same.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of Mikurak cited above implicitly shows the elements and limitations of claims 16-21 and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Mikurak cited above as showing the limitations of claims 16-21 because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Mikurak would have provided "customer satisfaction in service needs. . . . " (see Mikurak (col. 76, Il. 19-25)), based on the motivation to modify Mikurak so as to provide an ongoing way "to perform commercial transactions that involve order entry systems would allow an online system to sell goods and services to computer users." (See Mikurak (col. 1, Il. 107, Il. 27-39)).

Dependent claims 18-21 are rejected for substantially the same reasons as dependent claims 10-13.

Art Unit: 3622

As per claim 22, Mikurak (FIG. 118; FIG. 2; FIG. 5; FIG. 13; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 6; through FIG. 11; FIG. 12; FIG. 15; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 19; FIG. 21; FIG. 23; FIG. 25; FIG. 26; FIG. 50; FIG. 53; FIG. 54; FIG. 55; FIG. 56; FIG. 57; FIG. 58; FIG. 60; FIG. 61; FIG. 62; FIG. 63; FIG. 64; FIG. 65; FIG. 66 through FIG. 73; FIG. 74; FIG. 75; FIG. 76; FIG. 90; through FIG. 97; FIG. 100; FIG. 106 through FIG. 110; FIG. 115; FIG. 120 through FIG. 122; col. 3, Il. 5-67; col. 4, Il. 1-67; col. 5, Il. 1-67; col. 6, Il. 1-67; col. 7, Il. 1-67; col. 8, Il. 1-67; col. 9, Il. 1-25; col. 76, Il. 19-25; col. 77, Il. 43-67; col. 78, Il. 1-5; col. 158, Il. 8-20; col. 158, Il. 29-67; col. 159, Il. 1-67; col. 217, Il. 39-46; col. 218, Il. 1-67; col. 224, Il. 18-30; col. 225, Il. 1-67; and whole document) implicitly shows the elements and limitations of claim 22.

Mikurak lacks an explicit recitation of some of the "after-sales-service and customer care (ASCC) center" and "an electronic ASCC voucher. . . . " elements and limitations of claim 22, even though Mikurak (FIG. 118; FIG. 2; FIG. 5; FIG. 13; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 6; through FIG. 11; FIG. 12; FIG. 15; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 19; FIG. 21; FIG. 23; FIG. 25; FIG. 26; FIG. 50; FIG. 53; FIG. 54; FIG. 55; FIG. 56; FIG. 57; FIG. 58; FIG. 60; FIG. 61; FIG. 62; FIG. 63; FIG. 64; FIG. 65; FIG. 66 through FIG. 73; FIG. 74; FIG. 75; FIG. 76; FIG. 90; through FIG. 97; FIG. 100; FIG. 106 through FIG. 110; FIG. 115; FIG. 120 through FIG. 122; col. 3, ll. 5-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-67; col. 7, ll. 1-67; col. 8, ll. 1-67; col. 9, ll. 1-25; col. 76, ll. 19-25; col. 77, ll. 43-67;

Art Unit: 3622

col. 78, Il. 1-5; col. 158, Il. 8-20; col. 158, Il. 29-67; col. 159, Il. 1-67; col. 217, Il. 39-46; col. 218, Il. 1-67; col. 224, Il. 18-30; col. 225, Il. 1-67) implicitly shows same.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of Mikurak (FIG. 118; FIG. 2; FIG. 5; FIG. 13; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 6; through FIG. 11; FIG. 12; FIG. 15; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 19; FIG. 21; FIG. 23; FIG. 25; FIG. 26; FIG. 50; FIG. 53; FIG. 54; FIG. 55; FIG. 56; FIG. 57; FIG. 58; FIG. 60; FIG. 61; FIG. 62; FIG. 63; FIG. 64; FIG. 65; FIG. 66 through FIG. 73; FIG. 74; FIG. 75; FIG. 76; FIG. 90; through FIG. 97; FIG. 100; FIG. 106 through FIG. 110; FIG. 115; FIG. 120 through FIG. 122; col. 3, Il. 5-67; col. 4, Il. 1-67; col. 5, Il. 1-67; col. 6, Il. 1-67; col. 7, Il. 1-67; col. 8, Il. 1-67; col. 9, Il. 1-25; col. 76, Il. 19-25; col. 77, Il. 43-67; col. 78, Il. 1-5; col. 158, Il. 8-20; col. 158, Il. 29-67; col. 159, ll. 1-67; col. 217, ll. 39-46; col. 218, ll. 1-67; col. 224, ll. 18-30; col. 225, ll. 1-67) implicitly shows all of the elements and limitations of claim 22, and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Mikurak cited above as showing "after-sales-service and customer care (ASCC) center" and "an electronic ASCC voucher. . . ." because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Mikurak would have provided "customer satisfaction in service needs. . . . " (see Mikurak (col. 76, ll. 19-25)), based on the motivation to

Art Unit: 3622

modify Mikurak so as to provide an ongoing way "to perform commercial transactions that involve order entry systems would allow an online system to sell goods and services to computer users." (See Mikurak (col. 1, ll. 107, ll. 27-39)).

As per claims 23-26, Mikurak (FIG. 118; FIG. 2; FIG. 5; FIG. 13; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 6; through FIG. 11; FIG. 12; FIG. 15; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 19; FIG. 21; FIG. 23; FIG. 25; FIG. 26; FIG. 50; FIG. 53; FIG. 54; FIG. 55; FIG. 56; FIG. 57; FIG. 58; FIG. 60; FIG. 61; FIG. 62; FIG. 63; FIG. 64; FIG. 65; FIG. 66 through FIG. 73; FIG. 74; FIG. 75; FIG. 76; FIG. 90; through FIG. 97; FIG. 100; FIG. 106 through FIG. 110; FIG. 115; FIG. 120 through FIG. 122; col. 3, II. 5-67; col. 4, II. 1-67; col. 5, II. 1-67; col. 6, II. 1-67; col. 7, II. 1-67; col. 8, II. 1-67; col. 9, II. 1-25; col. 76, II. 19-25; col. 77, II. 43-67; col. 78, II. 1-5; col. 158, II. 8-20; col. 158, II. 29-67; col. 159, II. 1-67; col. 217, II. 39-46; col. 218, II. 1-67; col. 224, II. 18-30; col. 225, II. 1-67; and whole document) implicitly shows independent claims 1, 8, 15 & 22.

Mikurak (FIG. 12; FIG. 133, el. 13304; and col. 6, ll. 50-65) discloses "a wireless system."

Mikurak lacks explicit recitation of the "wireless system" recited in claims 23-26.

"Official Notice" is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of the elements and limitations of the "wireless system" as claimed in claims 23-26 were

Art Unit: 3622

well known and expected in the art by one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention because such concepts and the advantages would have provided means for an ongoing way "to perform commercial transactions that involve order entry systems would allow an online system to sell goods and services to computer users." (See Mikurak (col. 1, ll. 107, ll. 27-39)).

Claims 27-33 are rejected for the same reasons as claims 1-7 respectively.

Claims 34-40 are rejected for the same reasons as claims 8-14 respectively.

Claims 41-47 are rejected for substantially the same reasons as claims 15-21 respectively.

Independent claim 48 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as independent claim 22.

As per claim 49, Mikurak (FIG. 118; FIG. 2; FIG. 5; FIG. 13; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 6; through FIG. 11; FIG. 12; FIG. 15; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 19; FIG. 21; FIG. 23; FIG. 25; FIG. 26; FIG. 50; FIG. 53; FIG. 54; FIG. 55; FIG. 56; FIG. 57; FIG. 58; FIG. 60; FIG. 61; FIG. 62; FIG. 63; FIG. 64; FIG. 65; FIG. 66 through FIG. 73; FIG. 74; FIG. 75; FIG. 76; FIG. 90; through FIG. 97; FIG. 100;

Art Unit: 3622

FIG. 106 through FIG. 110; FIG. 115; FIG. 120 through FIG. 122; col. 3, Il. 5-67; col. 4, Il. 1-67; col. 5, Il. 1-67; col. 6, Il. 1-67; col. 7, Il. 1-67; col. 8, Il. 1-67; col. 9, Il. 1-25; col. 76, Il. 19-25; col. 77, Il. 43-67; col. 78, Il. 1-5; col. 158, Il. 8-20; col. 158, Il. 29-67; col. 159, Il. 1-67; col. 217, Il. 39-46; col. 218, Il. 1-67; col. 224, Il. 18-30; col. 225, Il. 1-67; and whole document) shows: "A method for carrying out an online electronic commerce comprising a step of: transmitting an electronic voucher over a network system for defining and rewarding an after-sales service and customer care (ASCC) activity related to said electronic commerce."

Mikurak lacks an explicit recitation of "defining and rewarding. . . . ", even though Mikurak (FIG. 118; FIG. 2; FIG. 5; FIG. 13; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 6; through FIG. 11; FIG. 12; FIG. 15; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 19; FIG. 21; FIG. 23; FIG. 25; FIG. 26; FIG. 50; FIG. 53; FIG. 54; FIG. 55; FIG. 56; FIG. 57; FIG. 58; FIG. 60; FIG. 61; FIG. 62; FIG. 63; FIG. 64; FIG. 65; FIG. 66 through FIG. 73; FIG. 74; FIG. 75; FIG. 76; FIG. 90; through FIG. 97; FIG. 100; FIG. 106 through FIG. 110; FIG. 115; FIG. 120 through FIG. 122; col. 3, Il. 5-67; col. 4, Il. 1-67; col. 5, Il. 1-67; col. 6, Il. 1-67; col. 7, Il. 1-67; col. 8, Il. 1-67; col. 9, Il. 1-25; col. 76, Il. 19-25; col. 77, Il. 43-67; col. 78, Il. 1-5; col. 158, Il. 8-20; col. 158, Il. 29-67; col. 159, Il. 1-67; col. 217, Il. 39-46; col. 218, Il. 1-67; col. 224, Il. 18-30; col. 225, Il. 1-67) implicitly shows incentive reward programs.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of It would have been obvious at

Art Unit: 3622

the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of Mikurak (FIG. 118; FIG. 2; FIG. 5; FIG. 13; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 6; through FIG. 11; FIG. 12; FIG. 15; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 19; FIG. 21; FIG. 23; FIG. 25; FIG. 26; FIG. 50; FIG. 53; FIG. 54; FIG. 55; FIG. 56; FIG. 57; FIG. 58; FIG. 60; FIG. 61; FIG. 62; FIG. 63; FIG. 64; FIG. 65; FIG. 66 through FIG. 73; FIG. 74; FIG. 75; FIG. 76; FIG. 90; through FIG. 97; FIG. 100; FIG. 106 through FIG. 110; FIG. 115; FIG. 120 through FIG. 122; col. 3, 11. 5-67; col. 4, 11. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-67; col. 7, ll. 1-67; col. 8, ll. 1-67; col. 9, ll. 1-25; col. 76, Il. 19-25; col. 77, Il. 43-67; col. 78, Il. 1-5; col. 158, Il. 8-20; col. 158, Il. 29-67; col. 159, ll. 1-67; col. 217, ll. 39-46; col. 218, ll. 1-67; col. 224, ll. 18-30; col. 225, ll. 1-67) implicitly shows "defining and rewarding. . . ." and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Mikurak cited above as showing "defining and rewarding" because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Mikurak would have provided "customer satisfaction in service needs. . . . " (see Mikurak (col. 76, ll. 19-25)), based on the motivation to modify Mikurak so as to provide an ongoing way "to perform commercial transactions that involve order entry systems would allow an online system to sell goods and services to computer users." (See Mikurak (col. 1, ll. 107, ll. 27-39)).

Dependent claim 50 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as claim 49.

Serial Number: 09/851,793

(Lin)

Art Unit: 3622

CONCLUSION

4. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any response to this action may be sent via facsimile to either:

(703)305-7687 (for formal communications EXPEDITED PROCEDURE) or

(703) 305-7687 (for formal communications marked AFTER-FINAL) or

(703) 746-7240 (for informal communications marked PROPOSED or DRAFT).

Hand delivered responses may be brought to:

Seventh Floor Receptionist Crystal Park V 2451 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John L. Young who may be reached via telephone at (703) 305-3801. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber, may be reached at (703) 305-8469.

Art Unit: 3622

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

JOHN LEONARD YOUNG, ESC.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

3900.

John L. Young

Patent Examiner

July 26, 2004